

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/822,379	LOW ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Karen A. Canella	1642	

**All Participants:**

(1) Karen A. Canella.

(2) Rebecca Ball.
**Status of Application: RCE**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 April 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*rejections due to obviousness over Cowan et al*
**Claims discussed:**
**Prior art documents discussed:**
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's Declaration under 37 C.R.F. 1.131 submitted April 22, 2005 was insufficient to overcome the rejections over Cowan et al because said declaration was not signed by both inventors. Applicant's representative agreed to furnish a replacement declaration signed by Philip Low and Yingjuan Lu..